

	Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social Sciences and Law Study Program						Document Code																																																													
SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																																																																				
Courses	CODE	Course Family	Credit Weight			SEMESTER	Compilation Date																																																													
Political Economy	6310102008	Compulsory Study Program Subjects	T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	1	February 1, 2024																																																													
AUTHORIZATION	SP Developer		Course Cluster Coordinator			Study Program Coordinator																																																														
	TIM MBKM		TIM MBKM			Dr. Tjitjik Rahaju, M.Si.																																																														
Learning model	Case Studies																																																																			
Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO study program that is charged to the course																																																																			
	Program Objectives (PO)																																																																			
	PO - 1	Students are able to differentiate various thoughts in the study of political economy																																																																		
	PO - 2	Students are able to provide arguments about the relationship between economics and politics																																																																		
	PLO-PO Matrix																																																																			
		<table border="1"> <tr><td>P.O</td></tr> <tr><td>PO-1</td></tr> <tr><td>PO-2</td></tr> </table>	P.O	PO-1	PO-2																																																															
P.O																																																																				
PO-1																																																																				
PO-2																																																																				
PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																																																																				
	<table border="1"> <tr> <th rowspan="2">P.O</th> <th colspan="16">Week</th></tr> <tr> <th>1</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>5</th><th>6</th><th>7</th><th>8</th><th>9</th><th>10</th><th>11</th><th>12</th><th>13</th><th>14</th><th>15</th><th>16</th></tr> <tr> <td>PO-1</td> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>PO-2</td> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </table>	P.O	Week																1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	PO-1																	PO-2																
P.O	Week																																																																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16																																																				
PO-1																																																																				
PO-2																																																																				
Short Course Description	The Political Economy course is a mandatory subject in semester 1 offered for the Local Politics program. This course will examine the relationship between political and economic studies. To analyze this specifically, this course will discuss various developing economic thoughts, approaches to the study of political economy, the rise and fall of markets, as well as political institutions and economic institutions.																																																																			
References	Main :	1. Adam Przeworski. 2003. States and Markets: A Primer in Political Economy (Cambridge University Press) 2. James Caporaso and David Levine. 1992. Theories of Political Economy (Cambridge University Press) 3. Barry Eichengreen and Torben Iversen. 1999. "Institutions and Economic Performance: Evidence from the Labour Market." Oxford Review of Economic Policy 15: 121-138. 4. Carsten Jensen. 2010. "Conditional contraction: Globalisation and capitalist systems." European Journal of Political Research 50: 168-189																																																																		
	Supporters:	1. Daron Acemoglu and James Robinson. 2012. Why Nations Fail: Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty (Crown Business) 2. Deliarnov. 1992. Perkembangan Pemikiran Ekonomi. Jakarta: Raja wali Press 3. Jude Hays. 2003. "Globalization and Capital Taxation in Consensus and Majoritarian Democracies." World Politics 56 (October): 79-113.																																																																		
Supporting lecturer																																																																				

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Evaluation		Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students are able to clarify the relationship between economic and political studies	Accuracy in explaining the relationship between economics and political science	Criteria: ☐ Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains comprehensively: 2 ☐ Student explains in general: 1, FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		- Lectures - Questions and Answers - 100 Minutes structured assignments	Material: The relationship between economics and politics References: 2. James Caporaso and David Levine. 1992. <i>Theories of Political Economy</i> (Cambridge University Press)	5%
2	Students are able to explain pre-classical political economy	1.1. Accuracy in explaining pre-classical economic thought 2.2. Accuracy in explaining the position and role of the country in the preclassical economy	Criteria: ☐ Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains 2 points: 2 ☐ Student explains 1 point: FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Meet Zoom Lecture ☐ Learning Method (MP) = Interactive lecture ☐ Lecturer explanation (1x50 minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 minutes	Material: Preclassical economic thought Bibliography: 2. Deliarov. 1992. <i>Development of Economic Thought</i> . Jakarta: Raja wali Press	5%
3	Students are able to explain classical political economy	1. Accuracy in explaining classical political economy	Criteria: ☐ Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains 2 points: 2 ☐ Student explains 1 point: FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Meet Zoom Lecture ☐ Learning Method (MP) = Interactive lecture ☐ Lecturer explanation (1x50 minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 minutes	Material: Keynes' criticism of neoclassical References: 2. James Caporaso and David Levine. 1992. <i>Theories of Political Economy</i> (Cambridge University Press)	5%
4	Students are able to explain the flow of neoclassical political economy	1. Accuracy in explaining neo-classical political economy	Criteria: ☐ Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains 2 points: 2 ☐ Student explains 1 point: FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Meet Zoom Lecture ☐ Learning Method (MP) = Interactive lecture ☐ Lecturer explanation (1x50 minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 minutes	Material: Neoclassical political economic thought Bibliography: 2. James Caporaso and David Levine. 1992. <i>Theories of Political Economy</i> (Cambridge University Press)	5%
5	Students are able to explain the Keynesian school in political economy	1.1. Accuracy in explaining Keynes's criticism of neo-classical political economy 2.2. Accuracy in explaining political economy from a Keynesian perspective	Criteria: ☐ Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains 2 points: 2 ☐ Student explains 1 point: FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Meet Zoom Lecture ☐ Learning Method (MP) = Interactive lecture ☐ Lecturer explanation (1x50 minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 minutes	Material: Keynes' criticism of neoclassical References: 2. James Caporaso and David Levine. 1992. <i>Theories of Political Economy</i> (Cambridge University Press)	5%

6	Students are able to clarify the economic approach to politics	Accuracy in explaining various economic approaches to explain political phenomena that occur	Criteria: ☐ Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains 2 points: 2 ☐ Student explains 1 point: FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Meet Zoom Lecture ☐ Learning Method (MP) = Interactive lecture ☐ Lecturer explanation (1x50 minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 minutes	Material: Economic approach in looking at political phenomena/policies References: 2. James Caporaso and David Levine. 1992. <i>Theories of Political Economy</i> (Cambridge University Press)	5%
7	Students are able to explain the rise and fall of markets	Accuracy in explaining society and economic systems as well as market evolution	Criteria: ☐ Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains 2 points: 2 ☐ Student explains 1 point: FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Meet Zoom Lecture ☐ Learning Method (MP) = Interactive lecture ☐ Lecturer explanation (1x50 minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 minutes	Material: Discussing society and economic systems References: 1. Daron Acemoglu and James Robinson. 2012. <i>Why Nations Fail: Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty</i> (Crown Business)	5%
8	Midterm exam	Accuracy, completeness, clarity and depth of description and analysis of answers to midterm exam questions	Criteria: ☐ Attendance: 0-1, FORM: Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		100 minute test	Material: Material 1-7 References: 1. Adam Przeworski. 2003. <i>States and Markets: A Primer in Political Economy</i> (Cambridge University Press)	5%
9	Students are able to clarify the rational choice perspective in political economy	The accuracy of using a rational choice perspective in political economy	Criteria: Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective correctly: 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective 1 ☐ Critical review assignment: 0-2 FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Online Face-to-Face Lecture (TM) (1x2x50 Minutes) ☐ Learning Method (MP)= Interactive Lecture ☐ Learning Time : Lecturer Explanation (1x50 Minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 Minutes	Material: Rational choice perspective References: 3. Jude Hays. 2003. "Globalization and Capital Taxation in Consensus and Majoritarian Democracies." <i>World Politics</i> 56 (October): 79-113.	5%
10	Students are able to clarify the power approach in political economy	Accuracy in explaining the urgency of power in political economy	Criteria: Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective correctly: 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective 1 ☐ Critical review assignment: 0-2 FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Online Face-to-Face Lecture (TM) (1x2x50 Minutes) ☐ Learning Method (MP)= Interactive Lecture ☐ Learning Time : Lecturer Explanation (1x50 Minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 Minutes	Material: Interpretation of power and importance References: 2. James Caporaso and David Levine. 1992. <i>Theories of Political Economy</i> (Cambridge University Press)	5%

11	Students are able to clarify the State-centered approach in political economy	Accuracy in explaining the state used to achieve personal goals	Criteria: Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective correctly: 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective 1 ☐ Critical review assignment: 0-2 FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Online Face-to-Face Lecture (TM) (1x2x50 Minutes) ☐ Learning Method (MP)= Interactive Lecture ☐ Learning Time : Lecturer Explanation (1x50 Minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 Minutes	Material: Interpretation of power and importance References: 2. <i>James Caporaso and David Levine. 1992. Theories of Political Economy (Cambridge University Press)</i> Material: The state as an instrument of individual or class power Reference: 4. <i>Carsten Jensen. 2010. "Conditional contraction: Globalization and capitalist systems." European Journal of Political Research 50: 168-189</i>	5%
12	Students are able to explain economic institutions	Accuracy in explaining existing economic institutions and their roles and functions	Criteria: Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective correctly: 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective 1 ☐ Critical review assignment: 0-2 FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Online Face-to-Face Lecture (TM) (1x2x50 Minutes) ☐ Learning Method (MP)= Interactive Lecture ☐ Learning Time : Lecturer Explanation (1x50 Minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 Minutes	Material: Interpretation of power and importance References: 2. <i>James Caporaso and David Levine. 1992. Theories of Political Economy (Cambridge University Press)</i> Material: The state as an instrument of individual or class power Reference: 4. <i>Carsten Jensen. 2010. "Conditional contraction: Globalization and capitalist systems." European Journal of Political Research 50: 168-189</i> Material: Economic institutions in countries References: 3. <i>Jude Hays. 2003. "Globalization and Capital Taxation in Consensus and Majoritarian Democracies." World Politics 56 (October): 79-113.</i>	5%

13	Students are able to explain economic institutions	Accuracy in explaining existing economic institutions and their roles and functions	Criteria: Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective correctly: 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective 1 ☐ Critical review assignment: 0-2 FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Online Face-to-Face Lecture (TM) (1x2x50 Minutes) ☐ Learning Method (MP)= Interactive Lecture ☐ Learning Time : Lecturer Explanation (1x50 Minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 Minutes	Material: Interpretation of power and importance References: 2. <i>James Caporaso and David Levine. 1992. Theories of Political Economy (Cambridge University Press)</i> Material: The state as an instrument of individual or class power Reference: 4. <i>Carsten Jensen. 2010. "Conditional contraction: Globalization and capitalist systems." European Journal of Political Research 50: 168-189</i> Material: Economic institutions in countries References: 3. <i>Jude Hays. 2003. "Globalization and Capital Taxation in Consensus and Majoritarian Democracies." World Politics 56 (October): 79-113.</i>	5%
14	Students are able to explain the welfare state	Accuracy in explaining the State's efforts to modify market impacts on society	Criteria: Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective correctly: 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective 1 ☐ Critical review assignment: 0-2 FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Online Face-to-Face Lecture (TM) (1x2x50 Minutes) ☐ Learning Method (MP)= Interactive Lecture ☐ Learning Time : Lecturer Explanation (1x50 Minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 Minutes	Material: Efforts to organize forces in the market Reference: 3. <i>Jude Hays. 2003. "Globalization and Capital Taxation in Consensus and Majoritarian Democracies." World Politics 56 (October): 79-113.</i> Material: Efforts to organize forces in the market Reference: 3. <i>Jude Hays. 2003. "Globalization and Capital Taxation in Consensus and Majoritarian Democracies." World Politics 56 (October): 79-113.</i>	5%

15	Students are able to explain globalization, domestic politics and social spending	Accuracy in explaining international regimes that will influence domestic economic conditions and society	Criteria: Attendance: 0-1 ☐ Explanation according to indicator: 0- 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective correctly: 2 ☐ Student explains rational choice perspective 1 ☐ Critical review assignment: 0-2 FORM: Non-Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Online Face-to-Face Lecture (TM) (1x2x50 Minutes) ☐ Learning Method (MP)= Interactive Lecture ☐ Learning Time : Lecturer Explanation (1x50 Minutes) ☐ Discussion (1x50 minutes) 100 Minutes	Material: Efforts to organize forces in the market Reference: 3. Jude Hays. 2003. "Globalization and Capital Taxation in Consensus and Majoritarian Democracies." <i>World Politics</i> 56 (October): 79-113. Material: The relationship between globalization, domestic politics and people's social spending. Reference: 4. Carsten Jensen. 2010. "Conditional contraction: Globalization and capitalist systems." <i>European Journal of Political Research</i> 50: 168-189	5%
16	Final Semester Exam	Accuracy, clarity, completeness and depth of description of answers to final semester exam questions	Criteria: Attendance: 0-1 ☐ FORM: Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		100 Minute Test	Material: Efforts to organize forces in the market Reference: 3. Jude Hays. 2003. "Globalization and Capital Taxation in Consensus and Majoritarian Democracies." <i>World Politics</i> 56 (October): 79-113. Material: Material 1-15 References: 1. Adam Przeworski. 2003. <i>States and Markets: A Primer in Political Economy</i> (Cambridge University Press)	25%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	100%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program)** are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment:** test and non-test.
- Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent

methods.

10. **Learning materials** are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
11. **The assessment weight** is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.