

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social Sciences and Law Study Program

Document Code

UNESA	Study Program																		
SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																			
Courses	CODE	CODE		Course Family			Credit W			eight			SEMESTER		ΓER	Compilation Date			
Public Admin Theory	6310103002	310103002		Compuls Curriculu Subjects		ım´	T=3 P=0 ECTS=4		1.77		1		Febr 2024	uary 2	26,				
AUTHORIZAT	SP Develope	SP Developer			onal						Study Program Coordinator								
	TIM MBKM	ТІМ МВКМ				ТІМ МВКМ						Dr. Tjitjik Rahaju, M.Si.							
Learning model	Case Stud	dies																	
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																		
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																		
(PLO)	PO - 1	Mastering the concept of administrative theory, comparing public administration paradigms and public administration theory.																	
	PO - 2	Able to compare public administration theories with various approaches at all levels of government																	
	PO - 3 Able to integrate the theoretical position of public administration which is the oresearch into a research map developed through an interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary approach										object sciplin	of ary							
	PLO-PO	Matrix																	
		P.O																	
		PO-1																	
		PO-2																	
PO-3																			
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																		
		P.O		Week															
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		PO-1																	
		PO-2																	
		PO-3																	
												_							
Short Course Description	This course discusses administrative concepts and theories, comparison and integration of administrative concepts and public administration theory, comparison and integration of bureaucratic political control theory, comparison of public administration paradigms, bureaucratic political control theory, decision theory, rational choice theory, public institution theory, theory post modern, new state administration theory, public choice theory, agency theory, New Institutionalism Theory, New Public Management Theory, Governance Theory and Public Administration Environment and Locality.																		
References	Main :																		

- 1. Bevir Mark. 2011.Governance. New York. Sage Publications.
- 2. Denhart JV and Denhart RB. 2003. The New Public Service. USA.M.E. Sharpe.
- Frederickson HG and Smith KB. 2003. The Public Administration Theory primer. USA. Westview Press.
- Mttalib MA and Khan Ali MA.1982. Theory of Local Government. New Delhi. SK. Ghai, Managing, Sterling Publisher.

Supporters:

- 1. Farazmand Ali. 2002. Administrative Reform in Developing Nations. USA. Prager Publishers.
- Fox CJ and Miller HT. 1995. Postmodern Public Administration Toward Discourse. USA. Sage Publiactions.
- ${\bf 3.} \ \ {\bf Grindle\ Merilee\ S.\ 2009.\ Going\ Local.\ USA.\ Princenton\ University\ Press.}$
- 4. Henderson Keith and Dwivwdi OP. Bureaucracy and Alternatives in World Perspective. New York. St. Martin's Press INC.
- 5. Savas ES. 1982. Privatizing The Public Sector. New Jersey. Chatam House Publishers.
- 6. Sthyre Alexander. 2007. The Innovative Bureaucracy. New York. Routledge.
- 7. Stivers C and King CS. Government Is US. California. SAGE Publications.
- 8. Utmo Warsito. 2006. Adminsitrasi Publik Baru Indoensia. Yogyakarta. Pustaka Pelajar.

Supporting lecturer

Week-	Final abilities of each	Ev	valuation	Le Stu [Help Learning, earning methods, dent Assignments, Estimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment	
learning stage (Sub-PO)		Indicator Criteria & Form		Offline (offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	References	Weight (%)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1							0%	
2							0%	
3							0%	
4							0%	
5							0%	
6							0%	
7							0%	
8							0%	
9							0%	
10							0%	
11							0%	
12							0%	
13							0%	
14							0%	
15							0%	
16							0%	

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.